Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.

I am duly appalled that this insidious and non-factual attack on a war hero of this country is going to be allowed as a "factual" documentary! The film is just a sampling of what is really an attack ad, not a true documentary. I've seen many a documentary over my 60 years and this travesty has me wondering exactly what will be allowed to go on in the future. I have taught my children the necessity and virtue of telling the truth as it is and not hedging or changing it to fit thyself!